Action Plan: Part II – Data Coach's Plan

Goal/Purpose	Action/Activity	Time Materials T		Time Frame	Who will be involved?
Identify at-risk students for closer monitoring and adjusted personalized instruction	Create a pre-test to administer to all students during 2 nd week of class	2 days	Coordinate Algebra standards, Released EOCT questions for Coord. Alg., Current Coord. Alg. curriculum, AKO in Learning Station	July	Data Coach; Math Department Chair, selected Math teachers
Identify at-risk students for closer monitoring and adjusted personalized instruction	Present pre-test to all Math teachers for review and approval	1 hour	Coordinate Algebra standards, Released EOCT questions for Coord. Alg., Current Coord. Alg. curriculum, Pre-test created by department	August – Pre-Planning	Data Coach; Math teachers
Identify at-risk students for closer monitoring and adjusted personalized instruction	Administer pre-test to all Coord. Alg. students using Learning Station answer document	1 hour	Pre-test created by department	August – beginning of school year	Math teachers
Evaluate student performance data	Analyze data from results of pre-test to identify students at risk and standards at risk using data generated by Learning Station	1 hour / teacher / class	Data generated by Learning Station	August – beginning of school year	Math teachers

ITEC 7305, Module 8 – Action Plan Part 2 by Angela Burgess

Provide further	Collaborate with	4-8 hours	Coord. Alg.	August - May	SE teachers
enrichment	special education and	throughout	curriculum and		
through practices	ESOL teachers to find	academic year	standards, pre-test		ESOL teachers
learned with	best practices and		created by		
collaboration with	strategies for reaching		department, data		Classroom
SE and ESOL	at-risk students		from pre-test,		teachers
teachers			teaching		
			strategies, room		
			and time to		
			collaborate		
Lower	Provide targeted	1-3 hours / week /	Coord. Alg.	August - May	Math teachers
achievement gaps	enrichment and	teacher	curriculum and		
by 5% and	remediation to	throughout	standards, honor		National Honor
increase overall	students identified at	academic year	society students,		Society students
student	risk at beginning of		remediation		with math
performance by	year and those who		material, targeted		specialties
10%	begin to struggle with		personalized		
	the new curriculum		instruction using		Data Coach (for
	via after-school		the		Saturday LASSO
	tutoring by NHS		Recommendation		sessions)
	students, individual		Engine in		,
	help sessions with		ItsLearning		
	math teacher, and				
	Saturday LASSO				
	sessions				

STRUCTURED Field Experience Log & Reflection Instructional Technology Department

Candidate: Angela B. Burgess	Mentor/Title: Ruth Allen / ITS	School/District: Lambert High School / Forsyth County Schools
Field Experience/Assignment:	Course: ITEC 7305	Professor/Semester: Susan Padgett-Harrison /
Action Plan Part 2	Data Analysis and School Improvement	Fall 2013

Part I: Log

Date(s)	Activity/Time	PSC Standard
12/04/2013	Create a detailed overview of individual steps needed to carry out Action Plan Part 1 / 5 hours	1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.7, 2.8 3.6, 3.7 4.3 5.1, 5.2, 5.3
	Total Hours: [5 hours]	

Ethnicity	ng the race/ethnicity and subgroups P-12 Faculty/Staff				P-12 Students			
	P-2	3-5	6-8	9-12	P-2	3-5	6-8	9-12
Race/Ethnicity:								
Asian				Х				Х
Black								Х
Hispanic				Х				Х
Native American/Alaskan Native								Х
White				Χ				Х
Multiracial				Χ				Х
Subgroups:								
Students with Disabilities								Х
Limited English Proficiency								Х
Eligible for Free/Reduced								Х
Meals								

Part II: Reflection

CANDIDATE REFLECTIONS:

(Minimum of 3-4 sentences per question)

1. Briefly describe the field experience. What did you learn about technology facilitation and leadership from completing this field experience?

This field experience involved going more in depth with the action plan after obtaining and analyzing available data from end-of-course tests. This field experience helped me realize the many steps that are involved in creating any change plan, no matter how small.

2. How did this learning relate to the knowledge (what must you know), skills (what must you be able to do) and dispositions (attitudes, beliefs, enthusiasm) required of a technology facilitator or technology leader? (Refer to the standards you selected in Part I. Use the language of the PSC standards in your answer and reflect on all 3—knowledge, skills, and dispositions.)

This field experience helped me to understand that as an Instructional Technology Specialist, it is important to be familiar with the standards and practices of all content areas at my school. I must also be able to develop professional development and interventions that can be applicable for teachers in all areas, not just the one with which I have the most familiarity. This must be done with enthusiasm and confidence so that the teachers will believe in the change I am trying to help them achieve.

3. Describe how this field experience impacted school improvement, faculty development or student learning at your school. How can the impact be assessed? This field experience has the possibility to impact school improvement, teacher professional development, and student learning if it is implemented by the school administration during the 2014-2015 school year. If implemented, teachers and administration will be able to assess its impact by analyzing EOCT data from the Coordinate Algebra EOCT that students will take in May 2015.